# George Spicer Primary School Three Year Pupil premium strategy statement 2024-2027



Learning well today, to make a better tomorrow"

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

# **School overview**

Detail	Data		
School name	George Spicer Primary		
Number of pupils in school	787		
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	246 pupils = 31%		
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy	<mark>2024 - 2025</mark>		
plan covers, the current academic year is highlighted in green	2025 - 2026		
	2026 - 2027		
Date this statement was published	December 2024		
Date on which it will be reviewed	December 2025		
Statement authorised by	Dominic Spong		
Pupil premium lead	Livia Mangiapane		
Governor / Trustee lead	Anne Del Greco		

# **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£337,712
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£54,577
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	0
Total budget for this academic year	£392,289
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan Statement of intent

At George Spicer Primary School our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers and those with additional needs.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve.

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Attendance & punctuality
	In 2023-24 academic year –
	Disadvantaged 92.4% which was -3.3% compared to non-disadvantaged (non-disadvantaged 95.7%)
	26.6% (70 chn) of disadvantaged pupils were persistent absentees compared to 6.5% (35 chn) of non-disadvantaged.
	30% of the disadvantaged children had attendance less than 80%.
2	<b>Low starting points</b> – in 2023-24 30% of <b>ALL</b> pupils starting in Reception met the starting baseline expectations (GLD) 26% for disadvantaged compared to 32% non disadvantaged.
	By the end of the year GLD for All pupils was 66.3% (National 68% LA 68% London 70%) Disadvantaged 58.8% (National 52% LA 58.6% London 59%)
	In 2023-24 disadvantaged children in Reception had lower starting points, compared to non-disadvantaged in most areas in particularly in word reading (-20%) and writing (-7%)
	<b>For the start of 2024-25</b> 19% of <b>ALL</b> pupils in Reception are meeting the starting baseline expectations (GLD) 4% disadvantaged compared to 24% non-disadvantaged. Disadvantaged children are below non-disadvantaged children in 9 out of the 12 GLD areas. Significant gaps fine motor -19%, writing -10% and number -18%
3	Many pupils face <b>additional challenges</b> to those of being disadvantaged <b>In 2023-24</b> – 57.5% of disadvantaged children were EAL and 30.4% were SEN provision
	In <b>2024-25</b> 61% of disadvantaged children are EAL and 29% are SEN and 15% are dis advantaged, EAL and SEN.
4	For 2023-24, end of year attainment amongst disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. (on average 16% lower across the school from Y1-Y6 in RWM)
	Most significant gaps are in Year 5.
5	<b>Deprivation in the local area</b> means that PP children in school often lack wider experiences and have specifically had a lack of enrichment opportunities during school closures. Southbury ward, where our school is

	located, is within the 30% most deprived wards in England. Enfield being the 9 <sup>th</sup> most deprived borough in London.
6	<b>Parental engagement and capability</b> – with 58% of disadvantaged families being EAL, language barriers and different experiences of school systems can hamper parent's abilities in supporting their child's learning.

# **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Attendance To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	<ul> <li>A sustained &amp; improved attendance in 2024/25 is demonstrated by:</li> <li>The attendance rate for all pupils meets the school's target of 96%</li> <li>Attendance for disadvantaged pupils will increase to at least 95%</li> <li>The percentage of disadvantaged pupils who are persistent absentees is below 10%</li> <li>The attendance gap of disadvantaged pupils versus non-disadvantaged pupils will be narrowed.</li> </ul>
<b>Early Years</b> An increase in the number of disadvantaged children achieving GLD due to current low starting points.	<ul> <li>The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantage GLD is reduced. (2023-24 Disadvantaged 60% Non-disadvantaged 68%)</li> <li>Attainment gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged in Literacy and Maths are narrowed.</li> </ul>
<b>Reading Attainment</b> Improved reading attainment for disadvantaged pupils across the school.	<ul> <li>The reading attainment gap is narrowed between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged children across the school.</li> <li>Reading interventions result in higher attainment/more than expected progress for disadvantaged children.</li> <li>Increased numbers of parents are engaging in supporting their child's reading at home.</li> </ul>
Maths Attainment Improved maths attainment for disadvantaged pupils across the school.	<ul> <li>The maths attainment gap is narrowed between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged children across the school.</li> <li>Maths interventions result in higher attainment/more than expected progress for disadvantaged children.</li> </ul>
Writing Attainment	• The writing attainment gap is narrowed between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged children across the school.

Improved writing attainment for disadvantaged pupils across the school.	• Writing interventions result in higher attainment/more than expected progress for disadvantaged children.
<b>Interventions</b> Interventions are appropriate and have impact.	<ul> <li>Interventions are based on evidence of education research. They are regularly reviewed for impact and show accelerated progress.</li> <li>Trained staff deliver interventions</li> </ul>
<b>Extra Curricular</b> Disadvantaged pupils access additional provision in line with or greater than non- disadvantaged pupils	<ul> <li>Disadvantaged pupils attend clubs and activities in line with non- disadvantaged pupils.</li> <li>Disadvantaged pupils attend visits and residential visits in line with non-disadvantaged pupils.</li> <li>Parents of disadvantaged children attend school events.</li> </ul>

## Activity in this academic year (2024-27)

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

#### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £114,045

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge nos addressed
Assigned member of SLT leads and co-ordinates PP strategy including monitoring, analysis of data and reporting.	EEF guidance and wider research about this role en- sures the implementation of the research for delivering an effective strategy. Annual external data and internal data is analysed by the PP Lead in conjunction with SLT and all teachers. The analysis will be used to identify and plan interven- tions for every PP pupil across the school. Interventions need to be led, monitored, measured and costed for im- pact. <b>EEF Guidance to Pupil Premium</b> Review of evidence on implementation in education. https://d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net/production/docu- ments/pages/eef_implementation_in_education_evi- dence_reviewapril_2024.pdf?v=1731951759	1, 2, 3, 4, 6

Ensuring a good quality of education is provided to all pupils, including the most disadvantaged by further developing teaching and learning strategies through high quality professional development (Literacy Tree, Behaviour, Opening Worlds, Walkthrus link to SIP) SLT to release middle leaders to monitor curriculum areas, quality of teaching and pupil outcomes.	Evidence indicates that high quality teaching is the most important lever schools have to improve pupil attain- ment, including for disadvantaged pupils. Effective pro- fessional development offers a crucial tool to develop teaching quality and enhance children's outcomes in the classroom. Effective Professional Development Guidance I EEF Great Teaching Toolkit	2, 3, 4, 5
Introduction of a new writing scheme – Literacy Tree for the teaching of writing to provide a clear support structure for teachers to work within. Focus area for academic Yr. 2024-25 is Writing.	Evidence shows that combining reading and writing instruction, particularly through high quality text, improves outcomes. <u>EEF, Combining Reading and Writing Instruction: an</u> integrated approach.	2, 3, 4
Further develop the role of TA Managers, HLTA (Inter- vention) HLTAs to coach and support colleagues. Use of INSIGHT to track the impact of interventions.	Evidence from a range of sources indicates that peer coaching improves skills and knowledge by motivating staff in identifying successes as well identifying and solving problems and giving ongoing support. The Education Endowment Foundation's (EEF) recent guidance report on implementing initiatives in schools, placed instructional coaching at the heart of its outline of effective practice. Effective Professional Development I EEF	2, 3,4

# **Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)** Budgeted cost: £207,230

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge
		nos addressed
Through rigorous assessment identify need for interventions across the school. Interventions monitored and reviewed regularly through the use of INSIGHT.	Statutory and school data used to identify ar- eas of need. Pupil progress and SEN meet- ings identify areas of need. The <b>EEF Teaching and Learning Toolkit</b> is used to identify proven interventions, based on evidence of education research.	2, 3, 4
S&L TAs to delivery language specific interventions. Training of TAs in evidence based interventions.	There is evidence to suggest that pupils from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are more likely to be behind their more advantaged counterparts in developing early language and speech skills, which may affect their school experience and learning later in their school lives. <b>Oral Language Interventions I EEF</b> Research which focuses on teaching assis- tants who provide one to one or small group targeted interventions shows a positive bene- fit. Interventions are based on a clearly speci- fied approach which teaching assistants have been trained to deliver. <b>Teaching Assistant Interventions I EEF</b>	
Focus on early language acquisition and vocabulary interventions. Led by S&L TAs and embedded in quality first teaching. (Literacy Tree – Vocabulary focus and ECASS training)	There is evidence of language gaps for disadvantaged pupils at the beginning of school, so targeted communication support may be a promising approach to narrow these inequalities. EEF Communication and Language Approaches	2, 3, 6

Use of AHTs to reduce class sizes and deliver targeted interventions.	International research evidence suggests that reducing class size can have positive impacts on pupil outcomes when implemented with socioeconomically disadvantaged pupil populations. Some studies also have also found that smaller class sizes in primary schools can have a greater positive impact on disadvantaged pupils than their peers.	2,3,4,5
	EEF Reducing Class Size	

#### Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £71,014

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge nos addressed
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's guidance on <u>working</u> together to improve school attendance.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence. Good attendance is essential for good progress and attainment. It is also important for well-being.	1, 2,3, 4, 5, 6
Attendance officer, DHT, PP Lead and Attendance Learning Mentor to monitor and improve attendance of disadvantaged children.	Attendance and Persistence Absence Review I EEF	
To ensure the curriculum is balanced and carefully sequenced and the creative curriculum allows opportunities for cultural	Children need to experience different settings and events as well as engaging in extracurricular activi- ties. This supports learning, language, well being and raises aspiration.	2,3,4,5,6
development. Disadvantaged children to have funded trips, visits, residentials clubs. Increased parental	There is intrinsic value in teaching pupils creative and performance skills and ensuring disadvantaged pupils access a rich and stimulating arts education. Arts par- ticipation may be delivered within the core curricu- lum, or though extra-curricular or cultural trips which can be subject to financial barriers for pupils from de-	
engagement in school events.	prived backgrounds. <u>Arts Participation I EEF</u> It is crucial that settings consider how parental en- gagement strategies will engage with all parents, as these interventions have the risk of increasing attain-	

	ment gaps if the parents that access parental en- gagement opportunities are primarily from more af- fluent backgrounds.					
	EEF Parental Enga					
Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our expension schools to ours, we small amount of fu needs that have ne	5, 6				
Whole school training on behavior management and anti-bullying ap- proaches with the aim of developing our school ethos and improving be- havior across the school. (Introduction of house points, CPD on Challeng- ing Behaviors,)	Behaviour interventions seek to improve attainment by reducing challenging behaviour in school. Behaviour interventions – Teaching and Learning Toolkit – EEF +4 months EEF Improving Behaviour in Schools: Evidence Review					2,3,4
Investment in and training of TAs/HLTAs to deliver ELSA (Emotional Literacy Support Assistants)	Investing in professional development for teaching assistant to deliver structured interventions can be a cost-effective approach to improving learner outcomes due to the large differences in efficacy between different deployments of teaching assistants. EEF – Teaching Assistant Interventions +4 months Emotional Literacy Support Assistants: Evaluation Summary 2023-2024 <u>https://welleducation.uk/wp- content/uploads/2024/06/WELL-Project-ELSA-</u>				2,4	
	Evaluation-2024.p Considering the pupils supp impacting on the needs ider Rated from 1 to 5 where 1 er Confidence and Self Esteem Separation and attachment Self-regulation Social/Friendship skills Lesson engagement Anxiety Other	oorted this y		-	nt impact"	

# Total budgeted cost: £ £337,712

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

# **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2023 to 2024

academic year.

#### Attendance

Whole School Attendance 94.6% (National 94.5%)

Disadvantaged 92.4% which is -3.3% compared to non-disadvantaged (non disadvantaged 95.7%)

26.2% (70 chn) of disadvantaged pupils are persistent absentees.

30% had attendance less than 80% and were monitored closely by Attendance Officer Of the disadvantaged pupils 4 had EWO involvement.

Regular meetings with the DHTs and Attendance officer take place to identify attendance PA concerns. Letters, meetings and liaison with the EWO take place as per the Attendance Policy.

The new Arbor App for parents displays their child's current attendance %.

#### Language Skills

#### **Progress:**

#### Listening, Attention and Understanding:

2023-2024 56% of children made expected progress and 43 % of children made more than expected progress.

**Disadvantaged -** 2023-2024 58% of children made expected progress and 42 % of children made more than expected progress.

#### Speaking:

2023-2024 56% of children made expected progress and 41% of children made more than expected progress.

**Disadvantaged -** 2023-2024 63% of children made expected progress and 37% of children made more than expected progress.

#### **Attainment:**

#### Listening, Attention and Understanding:

2022-23 78% achieved expected or higher

2023-24 82% achieved expected or higher (+4%)

#### Speaking:

2022-23 77% achieved expected or higher

2023-24 82% achieved expected or higher (+5%)

#### GLD

2023-2024 66% (Baseline of 30%) National 68% (All) Disadvantaged 2023-2024 59% (Baseline of 26%) Disadvantaged National 2023-24 52% (London and Enfield 59%)

#### Disadvantaged: Listening, Attention and Understanding:

Baseline Attainment 42% at expected level, Summer 80% **Speaking:** 

Baseline Attainment 47% at expected level, Summer 80%

Developing oracy and vocabulary and adapting the curriculum to the needs of the children was a SIP 2023-24 priority. Additional TAs were used to support speaking skills. Core book approach supported all learners in language acquisition.

EKLAN – 4 members of staff (TAs and Class Teachers) are now EKLAN trained alongside three others. Training was provided for all staff with these 4 staff members obtaining accreditation.

#### Phonics

GS Year 1 Phonics				
2022	2023	2024		
78.3%	87.0% +8.7%	85.8% -1.2%		
	National			
76.0%	<b>79.5%</b> +3.5%	80.8% +1.3%		
GS	GS Disadvantaged			
66.7% -	78.1% +11.4%	89.7% +11.6%		
LA	LA Disadvantaged			
68.7% -	<b>74.0%</b> +5.3%	75.6% +1.6%		
Natio	National Disadvantaged			
62.5% -	66.9% +4.4%	68.4% +1.5%		

A -1.2% dip on previous year. 56% of the children that did not past are SEND. 6 (5%) children scored between 25 and 30.

GS Disadvantaged children were +21% against National Disadvantaged.

This year's Year 1 cohort had the ELS Phonics Programme since Reception.

There is more consistency in Phonics teaching and quicker identification of children falling behind using the ELS assessment tools and practice Phonics Screeners. IN class and out of class interventions are more consistent to. Phonics Workshops demonstrate a model lesson and parents have found these useful in support their children at home.

Through monitoring, the Phonics team have identified a need to re-visit the out of class interventions with new staff and TAs. This has been planned for the Spring Term. ELS have also introduced Phase 1 planning which will be introduced in the Spring staff meeting.

#### **Progress in Reading**

Reading KS2		
GS	GS Disadvantaged	GS Not Disadvantaged
85%	82%	89%
National	National Disadvantaged	National Not Disadvantaged
74%	62%	79%

The bottom 20% of readers are identified for each year group. Extra provision is put in place e.g. 1:1 reading with volunteers, setting (use of AHTs and DHT)

Progress in Maths			
Maths			
GS	GS Disadvantaged	GS Not Disadvantaged	
73%	59%	81%	
National	National Disadvantaged	National Not Disadvantaged	
73%	59%	79%	

5% of the disadvantaged children that did not meet age related in Year 6 were PAs.

Maths teaching & learning is being monitored by SLT. Interventions being sourced to support closing the gaps. 2 TAs being trained to deliver First Class Number which had impact previously.

	Y6 Sum Main Assessment				
		Below	Cusper	On Track	Working Ahead
Y6 Spr Main Assessment	Significantly Below	1 pupil (2%)			
	Below	2 pupils (4%)	1 pupil (2%)	1 pupil (2%)	
	Cusper		7 pupils (16%)	7 pupils (16%)	
	On Track		1 pupil (2%)	21 pupils (47%)	1 pupil (2%)
	Working Ahead				3 pupils (7%)

Looking at Teacher Assessment only 1 pupil in Y6 did not make expected progress – PA & Significant family event occurred. 11 (24%) Pupils made better than expected progress

#### **Ready to Learn**

Disadvantaged families are provided with financial support for: uniform, access to technology, Felix Project providing food and toiletries and subsidised access to Breakfast and After School Club (BASC) wrap around care and enrichment opportunities. 6 of the 33 (disadvantaged 18%) children attending BASC were subsidised last year.

In 2023-24 all the children (7) that attended Art Therapy were disadvantaged.

5 children received funding for music lessons.

#### Wellbeing

Enrichment activities continue to be run by both external and school staff. A range of activities are offered covering arts, sports, chess, lego. In 2022-23 21 clubs ran throughout the year compared to 30 in 2023-24.

Autumn	Spring	Summer	
10	12	8	
Disadvantaged children are given priority when they express an interest in clubs. For 2024-25 class teachers will identify and match disadvantaged pupils to clubs and families will be contacted to encourage them to sign up. Very few school run clubs have a cost, however ones which do, costs will be covered for disadvantaged children.			

# **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in

England

Programme	Provider
IDL Renewal	International Dyslexia Learning Solutions Limited
Catch-Up Numeracy	The Caxton Trust
1 <sup>st</sup> Class Number 1 & 2	Edge Hill
Progression Tool – Speech and Language screener	Communication Trust

# **Further information (optional)**

#### **Additional activity**

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. This will include:

- Focused half termly assemblies & activities based on our school values of Respect, Responsibility, Equality, Resilience, Determination & Kindness, to enhance our pupils' personal development.
- As a Rights Respecting School, continuing to increase our pupils' awareness of the UNCRC and Children's Rights through careful planning, embedding and teaching throughout the curriculum.
- Embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils.
- Offering a range of extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate.